Under the hood: A closer look at volatility weighting

Volatility weighting, also known as risk weighting, may offer a better way to capture diversification benefits.
In finance, standard deviation is a statistical measurement that is used to illustrate the historical levels of volatility, in an effort to diversification. Turbo charging diversification

Volatility weighting is simply a method for determining the position size of every constituent stock in a portfolio. Volatility weighting is applied to a broad universe of stocks with different levels of volatility, in an effort to equalize the volatility contribution across the entire portfolio and, by consequence, the risk contribution of each constituent. For investors, equalizing risk contribution seems like a smart objective because it gives every stock a voice and may help create a portfolio built to perform consistently over a full market cycle.

But before looking at the mechanics of volatility weighting, it’s critical to underscore the connection between volatility and risk. With stocks, volatility describes the degree by which share prices have fluctuated. Risk is the exposure to dangers that can cause a stock to lose value. Therefore, volatility can be thought of as an expression of the risk associated with owning that stock, or as an aggregate measure of an individual stock’s risk. Standard deviation* is simply the math most often used to quantify that risk.

There are many types of risks that can influence the price behavior of a stock—macro risk, interest rate risk, company-specific risk, currency risk, liquidity risk, and others. The price movements of stocks will be influenced by a combination of these risk factors in differing proportions and magnitudes. However, stocks with greater risk exposure have historically tended to have greater price volatility than those with less risk exposure. Therefore, volatility can be thought of as an expression of the risk associated with owning that stock, or as an aggregate measure of an individual stock’s risk. Standard deviation* is simply the math most often used to quantify that risk.

* In finance, standard deviation is a statistical measurement that is used to illustrate the historical volatility of that asset.

... volatility weighted indexes may help investors prepare for when true diversification benefits are most precious: when things get rocky.

KICKING THE TIRES ON FIVE POPULAR EQUITY INDEX METHODOLOGIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>The nuts and bolts</th>
<th>The destination</th>
<th>Potential roadblocks?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EQUAL WEIGHTED</td>
<td>Weights each constituent identically, regardless of size or any other factor.</td>
<td>Seeks to offer broad diversification, but is typically more volatile than cap weighting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITALIZATION WEIGHTED</td>
<td>Weights individual constituents by their total size; the larger the company, the greater its influence</td>
<td>Seeks to offer broad diversification, but is typically more volatile than volatility weighting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOLATILITY WEIGHTED</td>
<td>Inversely weights each stock in portfolio according to volatility (larger weights to less-volatile stocks and smaller weights to stocks with higher volatility)</td>
<td>Attempts to equalize risk contribution and thus enhance diversification; generally less volatile than cap or equal weighting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINIMUM VOLATILITY</td>
<td>Selects stocks that have demonstrated low volatility, but also considers relative sector weights and uses style constraints</td>
<td>Aims to reduce exposure to market volatility, while providing a more diversified portfolio.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW VOLATILITY</td>
<td>Selects stocks purely based on the lowest level of volatility</td>
<td>Attempts to reduce exposure to market volatility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Turbo charging diversification

- Volatility weighting is applied to a broad universe of stocks with different levels of volatility, in an effort to equalize the volatility contribution across the entire portfolio and, by consequence, the risk contribution of each constituent. For investors, equalizing risk contribution seems like a smart objective because it gives every stock a voice and may help create a portfolio built to perform consistently over a full market cycle.

- But before looking at the mechanics of volatility weighting, it’s critical to underscore the connection between volatility and risk. With stocks, volatility describes the degree by which share prices have fluctuated. Risk is the exposure to dangers that can cause a stock to lose value. Therefore, volatility can be thought of as an expression of the risk associated with owning that stock, or as an aggregate measure of an individual stock’s risk. Standard deviation* is simply the math most often used to quantify that risk.

- There are many types of risks that can influence the price behavior of a stock—macro risk, interest rate risk, company-specific risk, currency risk, liquidity risk, and others. The price movements of stocks will be influenced by a combination of these risk factors in differing proportions and magnitudes. However, stocks with greater risk exposure have historically tended to have greater price volatility than those with less risk exposure.

- Therefore, volatility can be thought of as an expression of the risk associated with owning that stock, or as an aggregate measure of an individual stock’s risk. Standard deviation* is simply the math most often used to quantify that risk.

- * In finance, standard deviation is a statistical measurement that is used to illustrate the historical volatility of that asset.
**WHY VOLATILITY WEIGHTING?**

Allocates more heavily to lower-volatility stocks to equalize risk contribution across the index.

Less volatile stocks given higher weighting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STOCK A</th>
<th>STOCK B</th>
<th>STOCK C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volatility (Standard deviation)</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal risk contribution*</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Each stock contributes an equal percentage (.03%) in a 500 stock index.

For illustrative purposes only. Standard deviation is a statistical measure of volatility and is often used as an indicator of the contribution associated with a return series. For purposes of this illustration, the median standard deviation is assumed at 15%.

Less volatile stocks given higher weighting

More volatile stocks given lower weighting

**“Understanding the link between volatility and risk is central to why we believe an investor benefits by weighting individual stocks based on volatility.”**

Scott Kefer, CFA, Senior Portfolio Strategist, VictoryShares and Solutions.

The process of equalizing risk contribution through volatility weighting begins with Stock B because it carries the average volatility. Thus, it receives the average weighting, which in a 500-stock portfolio would be 0.2%. Since volatility is an expression of the risk associated with a stock, we can establish Stock B’s total risk contribution by multiplying its portfolio weight by its volatility (0.2% weight x 15% standard deviation = 0.03% overall risk contribution).

In order to complete the volatility weighting process and achieve the goal of equalizing the risk contribution across the entire portfolio, each of the remaining 499 constituents must contribute the same 0.03% risk contribution as Stock B. To achieve that we simply work backward:

> For Stock A, the least volatile holding, a 0.4% weight x 7.5% standard deviation = 0.03% overall risk contribution.

> Stock C is more volatile, so a 0.1% weight x 30% standard deviation = 0.03% overall risk contribution.

The accompanying graphic illustrates how the methodology would be applied to a broad, 500-stock portfolio.

- Stock A represents the least volatile holding among the 500 stocks with a standard deviation of 7.5%.
- Stock B has a standard deviation of 15%, which is the average volatility of all 500 constituents within the portfolio.
- Stock C is the most volatile stock with a standard deviation of 30%.

While cap weighting is the most prevalent methodology for index construction, it often results in a heavy tilt to the largest companies, discounting the performance and contribution of the smaller constituents. Such mega-cap dominance may garner little attention at times when the largest companies excel and grow more rapidly than the average stock, as in recent years. But when the momentum runs out and mega caps fall out of favor, any product built around a cap-weighted index could suffer.

In the face of these limitations, other approaches have come to the forefront. Chief among these have been a simplistic equal-weighting approach, whereby the stock index allocates equally to all constituents giving no regard to size or risk. In the S&P 500 Equal Weight Index, for example, every company’s allocation is fixed at 0.20% (quarterly). This seems to be a naive attempt at diversification. On one hand it solves for concentration risk and mitigates some of the sector and large-company biases of traditional cap weighting. But it also ratchets up the total portfolio risk profile by over-allocation to smaller companies, which historically tend to be more volatile over time.

Investing explicitly to achieve low volatility is another popular, if perhaps dubious, approach. Limiting the universe of an index solely to lower-volatility stocks will naturally result in a lower-volatility portfolio, but it hardly provides for broad diversification, even with sector caps. A portfolio full of consumer staples, utilities, and other defensive sectors might be less than an ideal approach for a core equity allocation.
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This process is repeated for the remaining constituents until all 500 stocks are individually contributing an 0.03% of risk, creating an equal-risk portfolio. With position weights as large as Stock A’s 0.4% and as small as Stock C’s 0.1%, volatility weighting clearly solves for the concentration risk inherent to cap-weighted approaches. Not only does this create a better distribution of position sizes, but the potential diversification benefits become evident in how each stock influences daily returns. Comparing the daily influences of the largest and smallest constituents in an index (see the accompanying graph) illustrates the point. In a cap-weighted index, the influence of a mega-cap was substantial, while the performance of the smallest constituent barely moved the needle.

Equal weight: A different vehicle

It is important to reiterate that equal risk contribution created by a vol-weighted approach is not the same as an equal-weighted portfolio. There are important differences. Sticking with the 500-stock portfolio illustration, an equal-weighted index automatically gives a 0.2% weight to every stock in the index. But then the more volatile Stock C’s contribution to risk would double (0.2% weight x 30% standard deviation = 0.06% overall risk contribution). Meanwhile, the less volatile Stock A would only contribute half the risk (0.2% weight x 7.5% standard deviation = 0.015%. overall risk contribution). Therefore, an equal-weighted index inherently carries higher overall risk potential. Is this really what investors want from an allocation?

“In the desire to build a better portfolio and maximize the benefits of diversification, why not seek to potentially spread risk equally across all holdings?” asks Mannik S. Dhillon, President of VictoryShares and Solutions for Victory Capital. Volatility weighting, or risk weighting, does exactly that. It’s simple, disciplined, and can be applied across small- or large-cap stocks, domestic or international portfolios, or combined with dividend strategies. Vehicles that seek to track the performance of volatility-weighted indexes may help investors prepare for when true diversification benefits are most precious: when things get rocky.

Past performance does not guarantee future results. CFA held 0.24% Clorox Co and 0.17% Apple Inc. as of 12/31/2018. Index and Fund holdings are subject to change and should not be considered investment advice. All data as of December 31, 2018. For illustrative purposes only. The methodology for the S&P 500 Index and the Nasdaq Victory US Large Cap 500 Volatility Wtd Index include additional factors. To learn more, refer to the S&P U.S. Indices Methodology, available online at https://us.spindices.com, or visit www.vcm.com/investment-professionals/our-indexes.
An investor should consider the fund’s investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses carefully before investing or sending money. This and other important information about the fund can be found in the fund’s prospectus, or, if applicable, the summary prospectus. To obtain a copy, visit www.victorysharesliterature.com. Read the prospectus carefully before investing.

Investing involves risk, including the potential loss of principal. Diversification and asset allocation do not guarantee a profit or protect from loss in a declining market. There is no guarantee that a strategic beta strategy will be successful. There can be no assurance that performance will be enhanced or risk will be reduced for funds that seek to provide exposure to certain quantitative investment characteristics (“factors”). Exposure to such investment factors may detract from performance in some market environments, perhaps for extended periods. In such circumstances, a fund may seek to maintain exposure to the targeted investment factors and not adjust to target different factors, which could result in losses. The annual management fees of ETFs may be substantially less than those of active mutual funds. Buying and selling shares of ETFs will result in brokerage commissions, but the savings from lower annual fees can help offset these costs. Active funds typically charge more than index-linked products for the increased trading and research expenses that may be incurred.

VictoryShares ETFs are distributed by Foreside Fund Services, LLC. Victory Capital Management Inc. is the adviser to the VictoryShares ETFs. Victory Capital is not affiliated with Foreside Fund Services, LLC.
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